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Using ab initio evolutionary simulations, we explore the entire range of possible stoichiometries for the Mg–O system at pressures of up to 850 GPa. In addition to MgO, our calculations find that two extraordinary compounds MgO$_2$ and Mg$_3$O$_2$ become thermodynamically stable at 116 GPa and 500 GPa, respectively. Detailed chemical bonding analysis shows large charge transfer in all magnesium oxides. MgO$_2$ contains peroxide ions [O–O]$^2^-$, while non-nuclear electron density maxima play the role of anions in the electride compound Mg$_3$O$_2$. The latter compound is calculated to have a much narrower band gap compared to MgO and MgO$_2$. We discuss the conditions under which MgO$_2$ and Mg$_3$O$_2$ could exist in planetary interiors.

1 Introduction

Magnesium oxide (MgO) is one of the most abundant phases in planetary mantles, and understanding its high-pressure behavior is essential for constructing models of the Earth and planetary interiors. For a long time, MgO was believed to be among the least polymorphic solids – only the NaCl-type structure has been observed in experiments at pressures of up to 227 GPa.7 Static theoretical calculations have proposed that the NaCl-type (B1) MgO would transform into the CsCl-type (B2) and the transition pressure is approximately 490 GPa at 0 K (474 GPa with the inclusion of zero-point vibration).2–4 Calculations also predicted that MgO remains non-metallic up to extremely high pressure (20.7 TPa),3,5 making it to our knowledge the most difficult mineral to metallize. Thermodynamic equilibria in the Mg–O system at 0.1 MPa pressure have to our knowledge the most difficult mineral to metallize. Thermodynamic equilibria in the Mg–O system at 0.1 MPa pressure have been summarized in previous studies,6–8 concluding that only MgO is a stable composition, though metastable compounds (MgO$_2$, Mg$_3$O$_2$) can be prepared at very high oxygen fugacities.

Although MgO seems to be so simple and well understood, surprises may be in store for the researcher. For instance, it was recently predicted that Xe becomes reactive and forms thermodynamically stable oxides at pressures of the Earth’s mantle.9 In the “simple” Li–H system, in addition to the “normal” LiH, new counterintuitive compounds LiH$_2$, LiH$_6$, and LiH$_8$ were predicted to become stable at pressures above 100 GPa,10 though experiments failed to confirm them so far.11 However, the prediction of new Na–Cl compounds (Na$_3$Cl, Na$_2$Cl, Na$_3$Cl$_2$, NaCl$_3$ and NaCl$_7$) has been confirmed by the experiment.12 If similarly unusual stoichiometries become stable also in the Mg–O system, this could have important chemical and planetological implications. In this paper, we explore this possibility and indeed find two new stoichiometries to be thermodynamically stable at high pressures. These two new stable compounds (MgO$_2$ and Mg$_3$O$_2$) exhibit interesting crystal structures with unusual chemical bonding and insulating and semiconducting electronic structures, respectively.

2 Methods

Searches for the stable compounds and structures were performed using an evolutionary algorithm, as implemented in the USPEX code.13–15 The most significant feature of USPEX we used in this work is the capability of searching for a specific area of the composition space – as opposed to the more usual structure predictions at fixed chemical composition. The desired composition space is described via building blocks (for example, search for all compositions in a form of [xAl$_2$O$_3$ + yMgO] or [xMg + yO]). During the initialization, USPEX samples the whole range of compositions of interest randomly and sparsely. Chemistry-preserving constraints in the variation operators are lifted and replaced by the block-correction scheme which ensures that a child structure is within the desired area of compositional space, and a new “chemical transmutation” operator is introduced.16 Stable compositions are determined using the convex hull construction: a compound is thermodynamically stable if the enthalpy of its decomposition into any other compounds is positive. Structure prediction was done in conjunction with ab initio structure relaxations based on
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density functional theory (DFT) within the Perdew–Burke–Ernzerhof (PBE) generalized gradient approximation (GGA), as implemented in the VASP code. For structural relaxation, we used the all-electron projector-augmented wave (PAW) method and the plane wave basis set with the 600 eV kinetic energy cutoff; the Brillouin zone was sampled by Monkhorst-Pack meshes with the resolution $2\pi \times 0.06$ Å$^{-1}$. Such calculations provide an excellent description of the known structures (Mg, O$_2$, MgO) and their energetics. To ensure that the obtained structures are dynamically stable, we calculated phonon frequencies throughout the Brillouin zone using the finite-displacement approach as implemented in the Phonopy code. Phonon calculations also allowed us to explore the effects of temperature within the quasiharmonic approximation; for each structure, phonons were calculated at 10–15 different volumes.

3 Thermodynamically stable compounds in the Mg–O system

We have performed structure searches with up to 20 atoms in the unit cell at pressures in the range of 0–850 GPa for the Mg–O system. These searches yielded MgO as a stable oxide, but additionally two new compounds were predicted to be stable in the regions of high and low oxygen chemical potential, respectively. To confirm this and to obtain the most accurate results, we then focused search on two separate regions of chemical space; Mg–MgO and MgO–O, respectively. Since the structures in the two regions exhibit different properties, we report them separately.

3.1 Exploring phase stability at high oxygen fugacities: the MgO–O system and stable peroxide MgO$_2$

It is well known that monovalent (H–Cs) and divalent (Be–Ba and Zn–Hg) elements are able to form not only normal oxides, but also peroxides and even superoxides (for instance, BaO$_2$ has been well studied at both ambient and high pressures). Fig. 1 shows the calculated thermodynamics of the Mg–MgO system, indicating thermodynamic stability of MgO$_2$. Our structure prediction calculations identified the presence of magnesium peroxide with Pa3 symmetry and 12 atoms in the unit cell at ambient pressure, which is in good agreement with experimental results. In this cubic phase, Mg is octahedrally coordinated by oxygen atoms (which form O$_2$ dumbbells), see Fig. 2a. However, Pa3 MgO$_2$ (c-MgO$_2$ from now on) is calculated to have a positive formation enthalpy from Mg and O$_2$, and is therefore metastable. The calculation shows that upon increasing pressure, c-MgO$_2$ transforms into a tetragonal form with the space group I4/mcm. In the t-MgO$_2$ phase (Fig. 2b), Mg is 8-coordinate. Here we see the same trend of change from 6-fold to 8-fold coordination as in the predicted B1–B2 transition in MgO, but in MgO$_2$ it happens at mere 53 GPa, compared to 490 GPa for MgO. Most remarkably, above 116 GPa the t-MgO$_2$ structure has a negative enthalpy of formation from MgO and O$_2$, indicating that t-MgO$_2$ becomes thermodynamically stable. Furthermore, its stability is greatly enhanced by pressure and its enthalpy of formation becomes impressively negative, $-0.43$ eV per atom, at 500 GPa! We also examined the effect of temperature on its stability by performing quasiharmonic free energy calculations. Thermal effects tend to decrease the relative stability of MgO$_2$ by 0.008 meV atom$^{-1}$ K, which is clearly insufficient to change the sign of the free energy of formation ($\Delta G$), and MgO$_2$ remains stable at high temperatures.

3.2 Phase diagram of the Mg–MgO system: Mg$_3$O$_2$ is an exotic stable compound

For the Mg-rich part of the Mg–O phase diagram, USPEX shows completely unexpected results. First of all, elemental Mg is predicted to undergo several phase transitions induced by pressure: hcp–bcc–fcc–sh. Under ambient conditions, Mg adopts the hcp structure, while bcc–Mg is stable from 50 GPa to 456 GPa, followed by the transition to fcc and the simple hexagonal phase at 456 and 756 GPa, respectively. These results are in excellent agreement with previous studies.

Unexpectedly, Mg-rich oxides, such as Mg$_2$O and Mg$_3$O$_2$ begin to show very competitive enthalpy of formation at pressures above 100 GPa. However, they are still not stable against decomposition into Mg and MgO, and their crystal structures could...
be thought of as a combination of blocks of Mg and B1-MgO. This situation qualitatively changes at 500 GPa, where we find that Mg₃O₂ becomes thermodynamically stable. This new stable (t-Mg₃O₂) phase has a very unusual tetragonal structure with the space group P4/mmbm.

This crystal structure can be viewed as a packing of O atoms and 1D-columns of almost perfect body-centered Mg-cubes. As shown in Fig. 3, there are two types of Mg atoms in the unit cell, Mg1 and Mg2. Here, Mg2 atoms form the cubes, merged into vertical columns and filled by Mg1 atoms. Within the cubic columns, one can notice empty [Mg1][Mg2]₄ clusters with the shape of flattened octahedra, with Mg–Mg distances ranging from 2.08 Å (Mg1–Mg2) to 2.43 Å (Mg2–Mg2). The coordination environments are quite different: each Mg1 is bonded to two Mg1 atoms and eight Mg2 atoms, while each Mg2 atom is bonded to six O atoms (trigonal prismatic coordination). Oxygen atoms in t-Mg₃O₂ are coordinated by eight Mg2 atoms.

### 3.3 Possible role of the new compounds in planetary interiors

What are the implications of these two compounds for planetary sciences? High pressures, required for their stability, are within the range corresponding to deep planetary interiors. In terrestrial planetary interiors, reducing conditions dominate, related to the excess of metallic iron. However, given the diversity of planetary bodies one can imagine that on some planets strongly oxidized environments t-MgO₂ can be present at depths corresponding to the pressure of 500 GPa (in the Earth this corresponds to depths greater than 2600 km). Mg₃O₂ is stable under the more usual planetary interior reducing conditions and at planetary temperatures. Exotic compounds MgO₂ and Mg₃O₂, in addition to their general chemical interest, can be important planet-forming minerals in some exotic planets.

### 4 Electronic structure and chemical bonding

Phonon calculations for Mg₃O₂ and MgO₂ at pressures of their stability show that no imaginary phonon frequencies exist throughout the Brillouin zone, suggesting that these structures are dynamically stable. Together with our calculated thermodynamic functions, this suggests thermodynamic stability of these compounds. What stabilizes these exotic magnesium oxides at high pressure? To answer this question, we analyzed the electronic structure and chemical bonding for these compounds.

Electron Localization Function (ELF) gives information about the bonding character and valence electron configuration of atoms in a compound. The ELF pictures show asymmetry of the Mg–O bonds, indicating significantly ionic character of bonding. As shown in Fig. 5a, valence electrons in t-MgO₂ are mainly concentrated around O atoms. Charge transfer was also investigated on the basis of the electron density using Bader analysis. In t-MgO₂, the net charge on Mg is +1.747 e, indicating the nearly complete transfer of valence electrons of Mg to O atoms (just like Mg in MgO: Bader charges are +1.737 e at 0 GPa and +1.675 e at 600). Each O has almost 7 valence electrons (6.873 e), thus with the formation of a singly bonded O–O dumbbell the octet rule is fulfilled. Each O–O dumbbell can be viewed as a peroxide-ion [O–O]²⁻ with a closed-shell electronic configuration.

The ELF distribution in t-Mg₃O₂ (Fig. 5b) also shows strong charge transfer from Mg to O. However, we surprisingly found a very strong interstitial ELF maximum (ELF = 0.97) located in the center of the Mg-octahedron (Fig. 5c). To obtain more insight, we performed Bader analysis. The resulting charges are +1.592 e for Mg1, +1.687 e for Mg2, −1.817 e for O, and −1.311 e for the interstitial electron density maximum. Such a strong interstitial electronic accumulation requires an explanation. The electronic structure (Fig. 5e) exhibits intriguing high occupancy of Mg-p,d-orbitals with overlapping energy ranges, which implies a strong Mg 3p–3d hybridization. At high pressure, strong interstitial electron localization was found in alkali and alkaline-earth elements; for instance, sodium becomes a transparent...
insulator due to strong core–core orbital overlap.\(^3\)\(^2\) As a measure of size of the core region we use the Mg\(^{2+}\) ionic radius (0.72 Å [ref. 33]), while the size of the valence electronic cloud is represented by the 3s orbital radius (1.28 Å [ref. 34]). In Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\), Mg–Mg contacts at 500 GPa (2.08 Å for Mg\(_1\)–Mg\(_2\), 2.37 Å for Mg\(_1\)–Mg\(_1\) and 2.43 Å for Mg\(_2\)–Mg\(_2\)) are only shorter than the sum of valence orbital radii, but longer than the distance at which strong core–valence overlap occurs between neighboring Mg atoms (0.72 + 1.28 Å = 2.00 Å). Thus, the main reason for strong interstitial electronic localization is the formation of strong multicenter covalent bonds between Mg atoms; the interatomic core–valence expulsion (which begins at distances slightly longer than the sum of core and valence radii, 2.00 Å in this case, and increases as the distance decreases) could also play some role for valence electron localization. Strong Mg–Mg covalent bonding is not normally observed; the valence shell of the Mg atom only has a filled 3s\(^2\) orbital, a configuration unfavorable for strong or directional bonding. Under pressure, the electronic structure of the Mg atom changes (p- and d-levels become significantly populated), and strong covalent bonding and electronic localization appear as a result of p–d hybridization. There is another way to describe chemical bonding in this unusual compound. We remind that Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\) is anion-deficient; the strong electron localization in the Mg octahedron plays the role of an additional anion, making the anion-deficient compound Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\) stable. These two descriptions are complementary.

We also employed HSE hybrid functional as implemented in the VASP code\(^3\)\(^2\) to estimate band gaps for these compounds; hybrid functionals are known to produce much more accurate band gaps than standard semilocal density functionals. Compared with MgO, which is a wide gap insulator (HSE band gap of 9.82 eV at 500 GPa – see Fig. 5f), t-Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\) is predicted to have a much narrower band gap of 3.54 eV, while the band gap of t-Mg\(_2\)O\(_2\) at 500 GPa is 8.11 eV. While MgO remains a wide-gap insulator in a very wide pressure range, the band gap can be decreased by changing the stoichiometry of a magnesium oxide.

5 Conclusions

In summary, we performed a systematic search for possible stoichiometries in the Mg–O system at pressures up to 850 GPa. Other than the well-known compound, MgO, we found that two more stoichiometries (Mg\(_2\)O\(_2\) and Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\)) become thermodynamically stable at pressures above 116 GPa and 500 GPa, respectively. Our analysis reveals that bonding in both insulating t-Mg\(_2\)O\(_2\) and semiconducting t-Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\) exhibits significantly ionic character. Mg\(_2\)O\(_2\) is stabilized by the formation of the peroxy [O–O]\(^2\) anion, while the strong electron localization in the Mg octahedron plays the role of an additional anion and makes the anion-deficient compound Mg\(_3\)O\(_2\) stable. These two compounds might exist in interiors of other planets, and are thus potentially important for our fundamental understanding of the universe – in addition to presenting new striking chemical phenomena.
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